

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **6. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation**

[Docket No. ER95-505-000]

Take notice that on January 31, 1995, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC), tendered for filing Supplement No. 8 to its service agreement with Consolidated Water Power Company (CWPCO). Supplement No. 8 provides CWPCO's contract demand nominations for January 1994-December 1999, under WPSC's W-3 tariff and CWPCO's applicable service agreement.

The company states that copies of this filing have been served upon CWPCO and to the State Commissions where WPSC serves at retail.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **7. Illinois Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-506-000]

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power), tendered for filing an Addendum to its coordination agreements. Illinois Power states that the purpose of the Addendum is to explain how the cost of emission allowances are to be calculated.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **8. Ohio Edison Company Pennsylvania Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-507-000]

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Ohio Edison Company and Pennsylvania Power Company, tendered for filing amendments to the agreements. The purpose of this filing is to amend energy rates contained in the foregoing agreements to reflect the energy-related costs incurred by Ohio Edison Company and Pennsylvania Power Company to ensure compliance with the Phase I sulfur dioxide emissions limitations of the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **9. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)**

[Docket No. ER95-508-000]

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin), (hereinafter NSP Companies) are Parties to various

coordination agreements. This filing contains amendments to these coordination agreements to recover the replacement cost of emission allowances in coordination rates. The contents of this filing comply with the Policy Statement and Interim Rule Regarding Ratemaking Treatment of the Cost of Emission Allowances in Coordination Rates, Docket No. PL95-1-000, issued on December 15, 1994.

In accordance with the waiver of notice provisions contained in the Policy Statement and Interim Rule, NSP Companies request that the Commission grant waiver of its Part 35 notice provisions and accept this filing effective January 1, 1995, subject to refund.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **10. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-509-000]

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), tendered for filing proposed supplements to its Rate Schedules FERC No. 92 and FERC No. 96.

The proposed Supplement No. 7 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 96 increases the rates and charges for electric delivery service furnished to public customers of the New York Power Authority (NYPA) by \$22,367,000 annually based on the 12-month period ending March 31, 1996.

The proposed Supplement No. 6 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 96, applicable to electric delivery service to NYPA's non-public, economic development customers, and the proposed supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 92, applicable to electric delivery service to commercial and industrial economic development customers of the county of Westchester Public Agency (COWPUSA) or the New York City Public Utility Service (NYCPUS), increase the rates and charges for the service by \$217,000 annually based on the 12-month period ending March 31, 1996.

The proposed increases are a part of a Company-wide general electric rate increase application by the Edison which is pending before the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC).

Although the proposed supplements bear a nominal effective date of April 1, 1995, Con Edison will not seek permission to make these effective until the effective date, estimated to be April 1, 1995 of the rate changes authorized by the NYPSC.

A copy of this filing has been served on NYPA, COWPUSA, NYCPUS, and the New York Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **11. Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company**

[Docket No. ES95-20-000]

Take notice that on January 31, 1995, Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue up to \$150 million of unsecured short-term debt during the period commencing June 30, 1995 and ending June 30, 1997, with a final maturity date not later than June 30, 1998.

*Comment date:* March 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **Standard Paragraphs**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-3494 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-37-000]

#### **Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.; Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Panda-Brandywine Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues**

February 7, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss environmental impacts of the construction and operation associated with the jurisdictional facilities proposed in the Panda-Brandywine

Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is required and whether or not to approve the project.

### Summary of the Proposed Project

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) wants Commission authorization to construct and operate 6.3 miles of pipeline to transport up to 24,240 dekatherms of natural gas per day to Panda-Brandywine L.P. (Panda) for its Brandywine, Maryland cogeneration plant. Columbia's facilities would consist of:

- 4.1 miles of 36-inch-diameter pipeline loop on Line WB in Hardy County, West Virginia;
- 1.6 miles of 36-inch-diameter pipeline (designated as Line WB-5) that would replace approximately 1.5 miles of 26-inch-diameter pipeline in three sections (designated as Line WB) in Braxton County, West Virginia; and
- 0.6 mile of 36-inch-diameter pipeline loop on Line SB-5 in Clay County, West Virginia.

In addition, a nonjurisdictional tap, measurement and regulation facilities, and about 0.5 mile of 20-inch-diameter nonjurisdictional pipeline would be constructed by Washington Gas Light Company (WGL) in Prince George's County, Maryland, to supply gas to Panda's cogeneration plant.

The locations of the project facilities are shown in appendix 1.2<sup>2</sup>

### Land Requirements for Construction

The proposed replacement pipeline and loops would be built within or adjacent to existing pipeline rights-of-way (ROW). The construction ROW would typically be 75 feet wide consisting of a 50-foot-wide permanent ROW and a 25-foot-wide temporary ROW. The construction ROW would overlap existing ROW by about 25 feet. Generally, the old replaced pipeline would be removed except in specific areas such as some road/railroad crossings identified by Columbia. Following construction, the disturbed area would be restored and the 25 feet of temporary ROW and additional

workspaces would be allowed to revert to their former land use.

### The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are taken into account during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Land use
- Cultural resources
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Endangered and threatened species
- Hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, State, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

### Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Columbia. Keep in mind that this is a

preliminary list; the list of issues will be added to, subtracted from, or changed based on your comments and our own analysis. Issues are:

- The proposed project would cross two perennial streams, three intermittent streams, and eight nonforested wetlands.
- Access roads would cross the two perennial streams at five locations and intermittent streams at seven locations.
- There are three private wells within 50 feet of the proposed construction ROW.
- There are six residences within 50 feet of the proposed ROW.

Also, we have made a preliminary decision to not address the impacts of the nonjurisdictional facilities. We will briefly describe their location and status in the EA.

### Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP95-37-000;
- Send a *copy* of your letter to: Mr. John Wisniewski, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Room 7312, Washington, D.C. 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, D.C. on or before March 10, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mr. Wisniewski at the above address.

### Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a Motion to Intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of

<sup>1</sup> Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

<sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public References and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) attached as appendix 2.

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by § 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mr. John Wisniewski, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-1073.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3466 Filed 2-10-95; 845 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-118-000]

**East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.; Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Roanoke Expansion Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues**

February 7, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the Roanoke Expansion Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is required and whether or not to approve the project.

**Summary of the Proposed Project**

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee) wants Commission authorization to construct and operate 5.2 miles of pipeline loop to provide Roanoke Gas Company, in Roanoke, Virginia, with up to 9,789 decatherms per day of continued firm transportation service. East Tennessee's proposed facilities would consist of:

- 5.2 miles of 12-inch-diameter loops in Washington county, Virginia (3.06 miles of loop between milepost (MP) 3311-1+0.04 and MP 3311-1+3.10 and 2.14 miles of loop between MP 3310-1+8.82 and MP 3310-1+10.96); and
- A 980-horsepower uprate of existing compressor units at East Tennessee's Compressor Station 3110 in Wartburg, Morgan County, Tennessee.

The locations of these facilities are shown in appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

**Land Requirements for Construction**

The proposed loops would be built adjacent to existing pipeline rights-of-way (ROW). The construction ROW would typically be 75 feet wide consisting of a 50-foot-wide permanent ROW and a 25-foot-wide temporary ROW. Following construction, the disturbed area would be restored and the 25 feet of temporary ROW would be allowed to revert to its former land use.

**The EA Process**

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are taken into account during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that *could* occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Endangered and threatened species
- Land use
- Cultural resources
- Hazardous waste
- Air quality and noise

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on

<sup>2</sup>The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, State, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

**Currently Identified Environmental Issues**

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by East Tennessee. Keep in mind that this is a preliminary list; the list of issues will be added to, subtracted from, or changed based on your comments and our own analysis. Issues are:

- One wetland (palustrine forested/scrub-shrub/emergent) and six small perennial streams would be affected.
- There is one residence and one cemetery located within 50 feet of the construction ROW.
- There may be additional noise impact on nearby noise-sensitive areas from the uprate in compression at Compressor Station 3110.

**Public Participation**

You can make a difference by sending a letter with your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP95-118-000;
- Send a *copy* of your letter to: Mr. John Wisniewski, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E. Room 7312, Washington, D.C. 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, D.C. on or before March 10, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mr. Wisniewski at the above address.

<sup>1</sup>East Tennessee Natural Gas Company's application was filed with the Commission under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.